

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/670,049	Applicant(s) KRUPENKINE, THOMAS NIKITA	
	Examiner David N. Spector	Art Unit 2873	

All Participants:

(1) David N. Spector (USPTO examiner).

(2) John McCabe (applicant's representative).

Date of Interview: 8 March 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2pm

/David N. Spector/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The instant inventor "Thomas Nikita Krupenkine" (e.g. the name of the inventor on the oath/disclosure filed by applicant on 10 January 2005) is identified as "Timofei Nikita Kroupenkine" on a number of other patents. The examiner contacted applicant's representative to determine: 1) if "Thomas Nikita Krupenkine" is the same individual as Timofei Nikita Kroupenkine" and 2) if both names refer to the same individual; which is the correct legal name of the instant inventor. Applicant's representative informed the examiner that "Thomas Nikita Krupenkine" and "Timofei Nikita Kroupenkine" were both the same individual and that "Thomas Nikita Krupenkine" was a American-ized version of the instant inventor's original Russian name "Timofei Nikita Kroupenkine". Applicant's representative was not completely certain, however if the full name of the inventor has been legally changed "Thomas Nikita Krupenkine". It was agreed that applicant's representative would determine which name needs to appear on the oath/declaration for the instant application, prior to responding to the present Office action.